F-8249 - 10/666,222 Response to Office action 3/10/2006 Response submitted March 28, 2006

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Claims 1 - 9 remain in the application. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6 and 7 have been amended.

Claim 6 has been amended in light of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112. The modifier "substantially" has been deleted, so that the backup is implemented "automatically."

The specification and the claims meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and second paragraphs. Should the Examiner find any further objectionable items, counsel would appreciate a telephone call during which the matter may be resolved.

We now turn to the art rejection, in which claims 1, 2, 7, and 8 have been rejected as being anticipated by Johnson et al. (US 2003/0033477, "Johnson") under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and claims 3-6 have been rejected as being obvious over the combined teachings of Johnson et al. and Brahmarouthu (*Intel Developer Update Magazine*, *Aug. 2002*) under 35 U.S.C. § 103. We respectfully traverse.

The independent claims have all been amended in response to the rejection. The serial ATA cable connection between the processor and the intermediate adapter has been incorporated into these claims. Support is found, for example, in the original claim 3, in the specification on page 9, para. [0032], and in the drawing figure. The serial ATA connection is identified with reference numeral "2."

F-8249 - 10/666,222 Response to Office action 3/10/2006 Response submitted March 28, 2006

Johnson shows a processor ("system 204") that outputs to a RAID controller 202.

The data engine 216 in the RAID controller distributes the data to several drives 206

... 212. As explained by Johnson;

The present invention may be practiced with a variety of Message Passing Technology (MPT) controllers, including Serial Attached Small Computer Systems Interface (SAS), <u>Serial Advanced Technology Attachment (SATA)</u>, Parallel Advanced Technology Attachment (PATA), Fiber . . . .

Johnson, p. 2, para. [0022] (emphasis added). That is, serial ATA is one of the possible connections between the RAID controller and the storage drives.

Johnson is silent with regard to the connection between the processor and the RAID controller. Johnson did not even identify or make any special mention of the double-arrow connection between his boxes 204 and 202. Most likely, one would assume, the connection is effected through the PCI bus. There is no teaching – or even a hint – that Johnson was concerned at all with the connection between the processor and the RAID controller. Accordingly, Johnson does not anticipate the claimed invention.

The foregoing was also recognized by the Examiner. The reference Brahmarouthu was accordingly added in the rejection of claims 3-6. The secondary reference describes the virtues of connecting to the motherboard via serial ATA. Page 4, for example, shows a picture of parallel connectivity juxtaposed to a picture of serial connectivity. There are two primary differences between the parallel and serial connections: First, the data transfer speed is increased. Parallel ATA is limited to 100 MB/s while serial ATA transfers at 150 MB/s even in the first generation (with future increases to 300 and even 600 MB/s). Second, serial connectivity uses much

smaller connectors and, accordingly, "board real estate" required for the SATA connectors is reduced to 25% as opposed to PATA. See, Brahmarouthu, page 4.

Brahmarouthu connects his disk drives to the board via the serial ATA connectors. In fact, most *Intel* boards now come with several serial ATA connectors (see, *Intel Desktop Board D915PGN*) for connecting the disk drives. This does not suggest – nor does the secondary reference hint at – connecting an intermediate adapter that distributes the data from the processor to a plurality of drives.

It is also telling that a serial ATA cable connection allows only a <u>single</u> drive to be connected. The seven leads (3 GND and 2 pairs of differential signals) can only address a single drive, as opposed, for example, to parallel ATA that allows two drives or SCSI that allows several slave drives. Fact is that the serial ATA spec calls for point-to-point connection. Such point-to-point connectivity, therefore, teaches away from applicant's solution.

At this point, we must consider the "motivation" that would prompt a person of skill in the art to combine Johnson and Brahmarouthu. Johnson's connection between the processor and the RAID controller is (most likely) through the PCI bus. Accordingly, neither the speed issue nor the "real estate" issue come into play. Even the first generation of the PCI bus transferred at over 130 MB/s (e.g. 32 bit bus at 33 MHz) and current PCI versions transfer at multiples thereof. The PCI bus connector and expansion slots are still available, even where the serial ATA connectors are provided (see, e.g., *Intel Desktop Board D915PGN*).

F-8249 - 10/666,222 Response to Office action 3/10/2006 Response submitted March 28, 2006

If a RAID system were integrated, say, in the right-hand picture of Brahmarouthu, one would <u>obviously</u> plug the RAID controller into the PCI connector slot, and then connect the multiple drives to the RAID controller via serial ATA cables. Again, this is no different from Johnson, where the RAID controller connects to the drives via serial ATA (as one of several options). It would, therefore, be much more obvious to continue using the PCI connection to add in a RAID controller. The art does not teach away from such a configuration. Specifically, Brahmarouthu does <u>not</u> teach a serial ATA connection between a motherboard (processor) and an intermediate adapter (e.g., RAID controller).

In summary, none of the references, whether taken alone or in any combination, either show or suggest the features of claims 1, 5, or 7. These claims are, therefore, patentable over the art and since all of the dependent claims are ultimately dependent thereon, they are patentable as well. In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and the allowance of claims 1 - 9 are solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

For Applicant

WERNER H. STEMER REG. NO. 34,956

WHS:am

March 28, 2006

Lerner Greenberg Stemer LLP P.O. Box 2480

Hollywood, Florida 33022-2480

Tel.: (954) 925-1100 Fax: (954) 925-1101